Abstract

Speed of healing is an important factor in wound healing. It is very important to find the natural drug that decrease this time. In current study effect of essential oil of Achillea millefolium on wound healing in 60 male chickens was study as a model for wound healing. Different concentration of essential oil of Achillea millefolium tested topically on full thickness excision wound with 25 mm diameter compared to phenytoin cream (1%) as a standard healing agent and geometrical study were done for 6 days. Result of this study show that higher concentrations (12% and 18%) have significant healing properties on chicken’s wound. Treated groups with higher concentrations and also positive control group that treated with phenytoin cream have significant differences with negative controls and lower concentrations (1% and 6%). This study and same studies can help us to find the natural alternative components for wound healing without any side effects.
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1. Introduction

Skin diseases occur in all countries and about 80% of the world’s populations depend upon traditional medicines for various skin diseases [1]. Wound repair is a natural reaction to injury which results in restoration of tissue integrity. Repair process of wound will complete within 3 phases including: inflammation, proliferation and remodeling. There is a similarity between wound healing in human and certain animal species. Recently, the traditional use of plants for wound healing has received attention by the scientific community [1, 2].

The genus Achillea (Asteraceae), named after the mythological Greek warrior Achilles, who used Achillea species for healing wounded-soldiers during the Trojan War [3]. The genus Achillea comprises of -85 species, most of which are endemic to Europe and the Middle East. Turkish flora possesses 42 Achillea species and 23 of them are endemic [4]. These species have some interesting properties and are used in cosmetics, fragrances and agriculture, for example, plant protection [5]. Some Achillea species have been known to be ethnomedically used in folk remedies for various purposes such as hemorrhoid and wound healing [6]. Herbal teas prepared from some Achillea species are very often used in folk medicine as diuretic, for abdominal pain, against diarrhea, flatulence and emmenagog, moreover for wound healing purposes [7–9]. Several biological activity studies have been performed on various Achillea species, including antibacterial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and antispasmodic activities [10–13].
The aim of this study is to show the effect of essential oil of *Achillea millefolium* on wound healing in chickens as a model for wound healing in humans and other animals.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Plant Material

A wild-growing plant from Kerman province's mountains located in southeast parts of Iran was collected in April and May, 2013. The samples, which were identified and confirmed as *Achillea millefolium*, were deposited in the Herbarium of the Research Institute of Jahade Keshavarzi of Shahreked, Iran.

### 2.2 Isolation of the Volatile Oil

10 kg from aerial parts of the plant were dried in an oven equipped with warm air circulation. One hundred grams of the air-dried material was ground and powdered. The powder was subjected to hydro distillation for 3 h using a Clevenger-type system. Finally 30 ml essential oil extracted. The oil was kept at 2 to 4ºC in a sealed brown vial.

### 2.3 Chickens

60 Adult (7 months old) roosters (Leghorn Breed) with 2000–2400 gram weight were studied. Chickens fed by standard pellet diet (Salehkashmar Co, Iran); contain soybean meal and corn for 3 weeks. The chickens were housed in standard environmental conditions of temperature (25 ± 3˚C), humidity (55 ± 5%) and a 16-h light/8-h dark cycle.

### 2.4 Wound Healing Studies

A full thickness wound was made in the skin of the test chickens according to the model of Cross [14] and the experiment was performed according to the modification of Hemmati and Mohammadian [15]. Feathers of lower back were fully picked and cleared, the desired area was locally anaesthised with the subcutaneous injection of lidocaine (10%), the animal was held in standard crouching position, and the mobile skin of lower back was gently stretched and held by the fingers. A metal dick template measuring with 25 mm diameter was placed on the stretched skin and an outline of the template was traced on the skin using a finetipped pen. The wound was made by excising the skin, within the border of the template to the level of loose subcutaneous tissue, using a size 15 scalpel blade and a forceps.

Wounds in positive control group were treated topically with 1 gram cream containing 1% phenytoin (Darupakhsh Co, Iran) as a standard healing agent twice a day (every 12 hours) and in tested groups treated with 5 ml solution contained various concentrations of essential oil; 1% (1 ml essential oil in 100 ml distillated sterile water), 6% (6 ml essential oil in 100 ml distillated sterile water), 12% (12 ml essential oil in 100 ml distillated sterile water) and 18% (18 ml essential oil in 100 ml distillated sterile water) twice a day (every 12 hours). Negative control group receive no treated.

All ethical issues were considered in the surgical procedure and during the treatments. Bedding in the cages was changed daily and cages were kept clean to avoid infection of wounds. Wound dressings were done twice daily.

Diameter of the wound for every chicken measured in days 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 at the same time in days. Measurement's errors were minimized by repeating each measurement in three times and using an average of the measurement in all calculations. Diameter size of the wounds was the base of comparisons and data analysis.

### 2.5 Statistical Analysis

Means were separated by one-way Analysis Of Variance (ANOVA) and significant differences (P<0.05) between means were assessed by Tukey's test using Sigma Plot software version 12.0.

## 3. Results

Diameter of the wound for every chicken significantly (P<0.05) reduced in day 1 compared day 0 but there were no significant difference between different test groups (P>0.95).

In day 2 diameter of the wound for groups 18% and positive control significantly (P<0.05) reduced compared day 1 and other tested groups.

Also in day 3 diameter of the wound for groups 18% and positive control significantly (P<0.05) reduced compared day 2, but there were no significant difference between other test groups (P>0.95) again.

In day 4 diameter of the wound for groups 18% and positive control significantly (P<0.05) reduced compared
day 3, but there were no significant difference between other test groups (P>0.95), too.

In day 5 diameter of the wound for each groups significantly (P<0.05) reduced compared day 4.

Also in day 6 diameter of the wound for each groups significantly (P<0.05) reduced compared day 5.

In day 8 diameter of the wound for each groups significantly (P<0.05) reduced compared day 6, too.

Also in day 10 diameter of the wound for each groups significantly (P<0.05) reduced compared day 8, too.

In day 12 diameter of the wound for each groups significantly (P<0.05) reduced compared day 10 again.

And finally in day 14 diameter of the wound for each groups significantly (P<0.05) reduced compared day 12.

Comparative data for each groups in every times show in Table 1.

### Table 1: Mean size of wound’s diameter (mm) ± SEM in various test groups in different days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>1%</th>
<th>6%</th>
<th>12%</th>
<th>18%</th>
<th>Positive Control</th>
<th>Negative Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>25  ± 0.00 a</td>
<td>25 ± 0.00 a</td>
<td>25 ± 0.00 a</td>
<td>25 ± 0.00 a</td>
<td>25 ± 0.00 a</td>
<td>25 ± 0.00 a</td>
<td>25 ± 0.00 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.92 ± 0.14 b</td>
<td>21.66 ± 0.26 b</td>
<td>20.84 ± 0.08 b</td>
<td>20.96 ± 0.94 b</td>
<td>20.01 ± 0.63 b</td>
<td>21.98 ± 1.07 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.34 ± 1.13 bd</td>
<td>18.08 ± 0.94 bd</td>
<td>18.65 ± 0.24 bd</td>
<td>16.52 ± 1.31 bc</td>
<td>15.28 ± 1.65 bc</td>
<td>20.29 ± 0.37 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.13 ± 0.29 bd</td>
<td>16.08 ± 0.85 bd</td>
<td>17.26 ± 1.06 bd</td>
<td>13.86 ± 0.44 be</td>
<td>13.14 ± 0.09 be</td>
<td>18.28 ± 0.67 bd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.24 ± 1.63 bd</td>
<td>15.45 ± 0.92 bd</td>
<td>14.69 ± 0.66 bd</td>
<td>12.52 ± 0.77 bf</td>
<td>11.36 ± 0.28 bf</td>
<td>16.13 ± 0.71 bd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.56 ± 0.06 bh</td>
<td>13.74 ± 1.49 bh</td>
<td>12.07 ± 0.09 bi</td>
<td>10.62 ± 0.70 bj</td>
<td>9.99 ± 0.51 bj</td>
<td>13.89 ± 1.83 bh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.02 ± 0.94 bk</td>
<td>10.27 ± 0.45 bk</td>
<td>9.24 ± 0.17 bl</td>
<td>7.85 ± 0.96 bm</td>
<td>7.12 ± 2.07 bm</td>
<td>11.05 ± 0.53 bk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.32 ± 0.05 bn</td>
<td>8.88 ± 2.42 bn</td>
<td>6.98 ± 1.87 bo</td>
<td>5.83 ± 0.76 bp</td>
<td>5.14 ± 0.71 bp</td>
<td>8.75 ± 0.69 bn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.46 ± 1.83 bq</td>
<td>7.01 ± 0.29 bq</td>
<td>5.47 ± 0.04 br</td>
<td>4.99 ± 2.34 br</td>
<td>4.56 ± 0.71 br</td>
<td>8.13 ± 1.21 bq</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.36 ± 0.99 bq</td>
<td>5.95 ± 0.66 bs</td>
<td>5.04 ± 0.66 bs</td>
<td>4.11 ± 0.01 bt</td>
<td>3.89 ± 1.07 bt</td>
<td>7.58 ± 0.57 bq</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.62 ± 1.18 bs</td>
<td>5.09 ± 0.15 bu</td>
<td>4.35 ± 2.28 bu</td>
<td>3.84 ± 0.81 bv</td>
<td>3.25 ± 0.13 bv</td>
<td>6.84 ± 2.36 bq</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different lower cases in rows show the significant difference between every tested group and different lower cases in columns show the significant difference between every day.
damage and even lead to neoplastic transformation, which further impede the healing process by causing damage to cellular membranes, DNA, proteins and lipids as well [21]. Hence, if a compound or a plant extract having antioxidant potentials and antimicrobial activity additionally, it can be a good therapeutic agent for accelerating the wound-healing process.

*Achillea* species have been so far reported to contain diterpenes, sesquiterpenes, flavonoids, lignans, essential oil and rarely triterpenes [22, 23]. Various biological activity studies were also completed on *Achillea* species. The antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of the essential oil and the methanolic extract of *A. biebersteinii* were studied in vitro by [24]. The essential oil showed antimicrobial activity against 8 bacteria sp., 14 fungi sp. and the *Candida albicans*, whereas the methanolic extract remained inactive. Several preparations containing *A. millefolium* extract was quite successfully healed the wounds and scars. The liniment containing hiperisin oil and *A. millefolium* extract patented by Motogna accelerates the healing of wounds and gives esthetic scars. Since the liniment is applied as a spray it is easily applied and painless [25].

In current study effect of essential oil of *Achillea millefolium* on wound healing in chickens studied as a model for wound healing. Results obtained from this study show that essential oil of *Achillea millefolium* in highest concentrations (12 and 18%) have promoting wound healing activity that we can use from this essential oil in wounds without any side effects.

The present study demonstrated that essential oil of *Achillea millefolium* was capable of promoting wound healing activity. So we advised that effect of this essential oil on wound healing in other animals and also in humans study in future work. Also effect of this essential oil on wound healing in gastro intestinal tubes cab be study in same works.
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