## Behavioural responses of key parasitoids of *Opisina arenosella* Walker (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to the kairomones

N. BAKTHAVATSALAM, S. P. SINGH, P. L.TANDON, M. CHAUDHARY and S. PREETHI Project Directorate of Biological Control (ICAR) Post Bag No. 2491, H. A. Farm Post, Bellary Road Hebbal, Bangalore 560 024, Karnataka, India

ABSTRACT: Hexane wash of gallery and body of *Opisina arenosella* Walker elicited positive response from the parasitoids namely *Goniozus nephantidis* (Muesebeck), *Brachymeria nephantidis* Gahan and *Elasmus nephantidis* Rohwer, in terms of more number of parasitoids entering the kairomone arm than hexane arm in 'Y' tube olfactometer. Although, numerically, the gallery wash elicited higher response than larval body wash of the host *O. arenosella* to *G. nephantidis*, statistically, the treatments were on par. Chemical analysis of kairomonal substances using GCMS revealed the presence of 13-tetradecenal and myristic acid in the gallery wash, in addition to dodecane, pentadecane, hexadecane, heptadecane, eicosane and tricosane. Larval wash showed terpenoids like alpha-terpinene and alpha humulene.

KEY WORDS: Behavioural responses, Brachymeria nephantidis, Elasmus nephantidis, Goniozus nephantidis, kairomones, Opisina arenosella

The productivity of coconut is severely affected by several species of insect pests and among them *Opisina arenosella* Walker is the major pest in coastal Karnataka and Kerala (Abraham, 1994). The effective suppression of the population of this pest through Integrated Pest Management Strategy, involves efficient use of key parasitoids as a biocontrol component (Anonymous, 1992). Field evaluation of the larval parasitoid, *Goniozus nephantidis* (Muesebeck) (Bethylidae); prepupal parasitoid, *Elasmus nephantidis* Rohwer (Elasmidae) and pupal parasitoid, *Brachymeria nosatoi* Habu (Chalcididae) revealed significant reduction

in the population of Opisina arenosella (Gubbaiah et al., 1989; Kapadia and Mittal, 1994; Sathiamma et al., 1996). The efficiency of these natural enemies varied with change in location, season and crop production system. To enhance the efficiency of potential parasitoids, kairomones are often recommended (Gross et al., 1975; Bakthavatsalam and Singh, 1996). Ghosh and Abdurahiman (1996) observed increased behavioural responses of Apanteles taragamae Viereck to the galleries infested by Opisina arenosella. However, further studies are lacking. The present laboratory studies were aimed at identifying the kairomones which elicit optimal host seeking response in the larval, pre-pupal and pupal parasitoids of O. arenosella so that these infochemicals could be used to enhance the efficiency of the parasitoids in the plantations.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The cultures of O. arenosella, G. nephantidis, B. nephantidis, and E. nephantidis available in the Project Directorate of Biological Control since 1995 were used for the present studies. The larvae of O. arenosella were reared on the cut coconut leaves. The culture of G. nephantidis was maintained on the laboratory host, Corcyra cephalonica Stainton, while other parasitoids were maintained on the late larva/ pupa of O. arenosella. The adult parasitoids were maintained on 50 per cent honey solution. The experiments were conducted in the Entomophagous Behaviour Laboratory of Project Directorate of Biological Control during 1998-99 at room temperature (24-26 °C) and 70 per cent relative humidity. Kairomones were obtained by washing the gallery and body of larva, pre-pupa and pupa of *Opisina arenosella* in hexane as per the standard procedures described earlier (Ananthakrishnan *et al.*, 1991). The concentrations of the kairomones were based on the weight of kairomonal substance in the known quantity of the solvent.

## Behavioural response to gallery and body wash

A 'Y' tube olfactometer designed earlier in this laboratory was used to find out the orientation of the adult parasitoids towards the kairomones. The 'Y' tube is made of non-absorbent, transparent, acrylic sheet (3mm thickness) having 20cm long arms and the stem with 3cm inner diameter. Known quantity of the kairomones was poured on to the cotton wigs prepared uniformly using an absorbent cotton and dried in shade to ward off the smell of the solvent. The wigs were kept in one of the arms of the 'Y' tube and the hexane (control) was kept inside the other arm. Five adult parasitoids (depending on the species) were kept on the stem portion of the 'Y' tube and covered with the muslin cloth. Two fans, one on each side of the arm, were placed and a wind flow of 1m/ sec was maintained using an electronic regulator. Constant and equal airflow was maintained in both the arms. Visual observations were made on the response of the adult parasitoids to the kairomones. The number of adults entering into the respective arms was counted for 30 minutes. The arms in which kairomones were kept, were interchanged for each replication to eliminate any phototactic effect. Each treatment was replicated ten times. The data were analysed using Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, comparing number of adults entering into the kairomone arm with that of the hexane arm (Pagano and Gauvreau, 1993). Analysis of variance was done for comparing the kairomones.

### Chemical analysis of the kairomones

The kairomones were analysed using GC (Hewlett Packard Series 6890) and HP-Mass Selective Detector (Series 5973). The kairomones were analysed using the method described by Ananthakrishnan et (1991). The kairomones, were al. concentrated from 10ml to 0.1 ml by using Savant Vacuum Concentrator. Out of this, 1µl was injected manually into the column with temperature of 230°C. HP-5MS column having 5 per cent phenyl methyl siloxane (30m X 0.20mm X 0.25µm) was used. The oven temperature was initially set at 40°C with a ramp of 5°C per minute up to 250°C with 10 minutes post run. Each treatment was replicated five times. Standards of the compounds were obtained from M/s Sigma Aldrich and the samples were compared with the standard based on their retention time and mass spectra.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Behavioural responses to gallery and body wash

The adults of all the three parasitoids namely Goniozus nephantidis, Elasmus

nephantidis and Brachymeria nephantidis showed marked differences in their response to kairomones (Table 1). The prepupal parasitoids Elasmus nephantidis showed more rapid movement towards the kairomone arm than the other two nephantidis parasitoids G. and B. nephantidis which followed a slow but steady path towards the kairomone arm. The number of parasitoids that entered the kairomone arm was significantly higher than that entered hexane arm in the case of G. nephantidis and B. nephantidis as per Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test. However, there is no statistical difference in the number of E. nephantidis parasitoids entering the kairomone arm, as more number of parasitoids is non- responsive. Although, numerically the gallery wash (in cases G. nephantidis) elicited better response than the body wash of the pest, statistically the treatments were on par (Table 2). In case of B. nephantidis numerically pupal wash responded better over gallery wash however, statistically both the treatments were on par.

Gallery wash contains the frass material and faecal matter of the larvae. Frass material was found to be an attractant to species like *Microplitis croceipes* (Cresson) and *Trichogramma* spp. (Gross *et al.*, 1975; Alborn *et al.*, 1995), *Cotesia marginiventris* (Cresson) (Loke and Ashley, 1984; Dmoch *et al.*, 1985), *Eupelmus vuilleti* Crawford (Cortesero *et al.*, 1993), *Macrocentrus linearis* Ness (Din *et al.*, 1986). An allied species of *Goniozus nephantidis, Goniozus indicus* Ashmead responded to the volatiles from the frass of larvae of *Eldana saccharina* 

Species	Treatment	Mean no. of adults in			Statistical
		Kairomone	Hexane	Base	significance
G. nephantidis	Gallery wash	3.1	1.7	0.2	*
	Larval wash	2.8	0.9	-1.3	*
B. nephantidis	Gallery wash	3.0	1.2	0.8	*
	Pupal wash	3.2	0.9	0.9	*
E. nephantidis	Gallery wash	14	5.5	5.5	N. S.
	Pre-pupal wash	14	3.3	7.7	N. S.

Table 1. Behavioural response of parasitoids of O. arenosella in 'Y tube olfactometer' to the kairomones

\* Significantly different at 5% level under Wilcoxon Signed Ranked Test N. S. = non significant

Walker (Smith *et al.*, 1994). Ghosh and Abdurahiman (1996) also found that chemical substances from the gallery washings of *Opisina arenosella* served as prime factor in host searching and oviposition behaviour of another parasitoid, Apanteles taragamae Viereck.

Table 2.	Comparative response of G. nephantidis and B. nephantidis	
	adults to the kairomones	

Species	Kairomone	Per cent adults response	
G. nephantidis	Gallery wash	62.0 (53.65)*	
	Larval wash	55.0 (49.38)	
B. nephantidis	Gallery wash	53.0 (46.72)	
	Pupal wash	63.0 (55.61)	

\*Figures in parentheses are arcsine values.

Between treatments: N. S.; Between species: N. S.

Larval cuticle and frass elicited strong host searching behaviour and oviposition probing by *Macrocentrus linearis* (Din *et al.*, 1986). Cuticle of host pupae also acted as kairomone for *Brachymeria lasus* Walker (Lee *et al.*, 1989). Pupal wash was also found to evoke response in *Brachymeria intermedia* Nees (Tucker and Leonard, 1977). The pre-pupal integument chemicals diffuse from inside the cocoon to inform the parasitoids about the suitability of the host in case of eulophid, *Dahlbominus fuscipennis* (Rostas *et al.*, 1998).

Kainoh and Tatsuki (1988) are of the opinion that both external and internal kairomones are needed to elicit oviposition response in *Ascogaster reticulatus* Watanabe, the external factor for host location and the internal for oviposition. Kairomones from gallery wash may be used for host location and kairomones from host cuticle may be used for oviposition.

## Chemical analysis of the kairomones

Dodecane, pentadecane, hexadecane, heptadecane, eicosane and tricosane are the common compounds present in gallery, larval, pre-pupal and pupal washes of Opisina arenosella, though their abundance vary in each of the sources (Table 3). 13-tetradecanal and myristic acid are the compounds that are present only in the gallery wash while heneicosane. tridecane and N-docosane are absent (Table 3). Tetradecenal, the pheromone component may have been derived from the ovipositing host insects. Either presence or absence of some compound may induce more attraction to certain kairomonal substances as observed in the present study. Larval wash has additional compounds like alpha terpinene and alpha humulene, the plant derived compounds which may be expressed due to the feeding habits of the larva and not present in the other non feeding stages (Table 3). Plant related volatiles in host frass and larvae appeared to play a major role in successful location of host larvae (Rose et al., 1997). Hexadecane is the major compound in all the extracts. Tetracosane is present in the pupal wash only, while the composition of pentadecane is very high. This may be an important compound for preference of pupae by Brachymeria nephantidis. This is the first attempt to identify kairomonal substances from gallery, larval, pre-pupal and pupal wash of O. arenosella.

Compound	Gallery wash (% of total)	Body Wash (% of total)		
		Larval	Prepupal	Pupal
Hexen-1-ol	-	0.49	0.18	-
Vinyl isothiocynate	1.57	-	0.49	-
Alpha-terpinene	-	0.53	-	-
Para-cymene	-	0.15	0.24	0.31

Table 3. Kairomonal substances identified from body and gallery wash of O. arenosella

Compound	Gallery wash (% of total)	Body Wash (% of total)			
		Larval	Prepupal	Pupal	
Limonene	0.67	0.36	0.66	_	
Undecane		0.35	-	-	
Dodecane	0.08	1.17	0.24	0.31	
Tridecane	-	1.19	2.79	0.96	
Beta-caryophyllene	0.27	-	0.92	-	
Tetradecane	0.27	-	0.50	3.92	
Nonane	-	0.07	-	-	
Alpha-humulene	-	0.42	-	-	
Pentadecane	2.48	8.99	3.31	14.09	
Hexadecane	13.49	17.40	8.93	14.86	
Heptadecane	3.71	6.85	1.94	6.63	
Octadecane	0.40	3.39	1.46	6.11	
13-tetradecanal	6.83	-		-	
Nonadecane	0.59	2.38	0.97	4.92	
Myristic acid	9.44	-	-	~	
Eicosane	1.89	1.92	0.79	3.89	
Heneicosane	-	1.37	0.43	2.54	
n-Docosane	-	1.04	0.36	1.82	
Tricosane	0.31	0.73	0.42	1.09	
Hexacosane	-	3.11	0.61		
Tetracosane		*	_	1.48	
Pentacosane	0.56	0.57	_	<u> </u>	

However, the response of the parasitoids to the individual compounds of the kairomone and the combination of the compounds needs further investigation to

develop synthetic kairomonal formulation. The formulations based on the gallery wash could also be used to increase the parasitoid efficiency in the field conditions.

12

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the Department of Biotechnology, New Delhi for funding the project. (Project No. BT/AB/07/039/94). The authors are grateful to Dr. T. Venkatesan, Scientist, Project Directorate of Biological Control, Bangalore for the continuous supply of the parasitoids.

#### REFERENCES

- Abraham, C. C. 1994. Pests of coconut and arecanut, pp. 709-726. In: Chadha, K.
  L. and Rethinam, P. (Eds.). Advances in Horticulture- Plantation and Spice Crops, Vol.10, Part 2. Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi, India.
- Alborn, H.T., Lewis, W.J. and Tumlinson, J. H. 1995. Host specific recognition kairomone for the parasitoid *Microplitis* croceipes (Cresson). Journal of Chemical Ecology, **21**(11): 1697-1708.
- Ananthakrishnan, T. N., Senrayan, R., Murugesan, S. and Annadurai, R. S. 1991. Kairomones of *Heliothis* armigera and Corcyra cephalonica and their influence on the parasitic potential of *Trichogramma* chilonis (Trichogrammatidae, Hymenoptera). Journal of Biosciences, 16(3): 111-119.
- Anonymous, 1992. Opisina arenosella Wlk., the leaf eating caterpillar of coconut palm. CPCRI Technical Bulletin. 12 pp.
- Bakthavatsalam, N. and Singh, S. P. 1996. L-Tryptophan as an ovipositional

attractant for Chrysoperla carnea (Stephens) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). Journal of Biological Control, 10: 21-22.

- Cortesero, A. M., Monge, J. P. and Huignard, J. 1993. Response of the parasitoid *Eupelmus vuilleti* to the odours of the phytophagous host and its host plant in an olfactometer. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **69**(2): 109-116.
- Din, D. C., Qui, H. G., He, L. F. and Sheen,
  B. J. 1986. Studies on the host searching kairomones of *Macrocentrus linearis* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a parasitoid of the Asian Corn borer Ostrinia furnacalis. Contributions from Shanghai Institute of Entomology, 6: 29-34.
- Dmoch, J., Lewis, W. J., Martin, P. B. and Nordlund, D. A. 1985. Role of host produced stimuli and learning in host selection behaviour of *Cotesia* (=Apanteles) marginiventris (Cresson). Journal of Chemical Ecology, 11: 453-463.
- Ghosh, S. M. and Abdurahiman, U. C. 1996. Role of kairomones in host selection by *Apanteles taragamae* Viereck a larval parasitoid of *Opisina* arenosella Walker. Entomon, 21(3-4): 259-262.
- Gross, H. R. Jr., Lewis, W. J., Jones R. L. and Nordlund, D. A. 1975. Kairomones and their use for management of entomophagous insects: III. Stimulation of *Trichogramma* achaeae, *T. pretiosum* and *Microplitis croceipes*

with host seeking stimuli at time of release to improve their efficiency. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 1(4): 431 - 438.

- Gubbaiah, Devi, T. G. and Revanna, H. P. 1989. Studies on the natural parasitisation of the coconut black headed caterpillar in Visvesvaraya Canal tract. *Current Research*, **18**: 3-4.
- Kainoh, Y. and Tatsuki, S. 1988. Host kairomone essential for egg-larval parasitoid, Ascogaster reticulatus Watanabe (Hymenoptera: Braconidae)
  I. Internal and External kairomones. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 14: 1475-1484.
- Kapadia, M. N. and Mittal, V. P. 1994.
  Development and recovery of Elasmus nephantidis Rohwer and Trichospilus pupivora Ferriere, parasitoids of Opisina arenosella Walker. Gujarat Agriculture University Research Journal, 20: 93-96.
- Lee, H. P., Lee, J. H and Ko, K. S. 1989. Effect of host species and their kairomones on the host acceptance behaviour of the parasitoid *Brachymeria lasus* Walker (Hymenoptera: Chalcididae). Korean Journal of Entomology, **19**(4): 267-272.
- Loke, W. H and Ashley, T. R. 1984. Potential use of kairomones for behavioural manipulation of *Cotesia* marginiventris (Cresson). Journal of Chemical Ecology, **10**(9): 1377-1384.

- Pagano, M. and Gauvreau, K. 1993. *Principles of Biostatistics*. Duxbury Press, Belmont, California. 524 pp.
- Rose, U. S., Alborn, H. T., Makranczy, G., Lewis. W. J. and Tumlinson, J. H. 1997. Host recognition by the specialist endoparasitoid *Microplitis croceipes* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): role of host and plant related volatiles. *Journal* of Insect Behaviour, **10**(3): 313-330.
- Rostas, M., Dippel, C. and Hilker, M. 1998. Info-chemicals influencing the host foraging behaviour of *Dahlbominus fuscipennis*, a pupal parasitoid of the European corn spruce sawfly (*Gilpinia hercyniae*). Entomologia-et- Applicata, 86(3): 221-227
- Sathiamma, B., Sabu, A. S., Pillai, G. B. 1996. Field evaluation of the promising species of indigenous parasitoids in the biological suppression of *Opisina arenosella* Walker, the coconut leafeating caterpillar. Journal of Plantation Crops, 24: 9-15.
- Smith, G. S., Allison, J. C. S. and Pammentar, N. W. 1994. Bio-assay study of response by a parasitoid to frass and feeding substrates of its host, the stalk borer *Eldana saccharina*. Annals of Applied Biology, **125**(3): 439-446.
- Tucker, J. E. and Leonard, D. E. 1977. The role of kairomones in host recognition and host acceptance behaviour of the parasite *Brachymeria intermedia*. *Environmental Biology*, **6**(4): 527-531.