



Research Note

Silkworm as alternate host material for rearing of green lace wing, *Mallada desjardinsi* (Okamoto) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) – a predator of pests of mulberry

J. B. NARENDRA KUMAR*, S. H. DIVYA, M. A. SHEKHAR and S. M. H. QADRI

Central Sericultural Research & Training Institute, Central Silk Board, Mysore 570 008, Karnataka, India * Corresponding author E-mail: jbnarendra@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Laboratory studies were undertaken to rear *Mallada desjardinsi* (Okamoto) using two species of silkworms (i.e., Mulberry Silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. and Eri Silkworm, *Samia cynthia ricini* (Boisduval)) as host material. On the *B. mori* larvae (1st instar), the total larval duration lasted for 14.6 ± 0.13 days whereas on that of *S. c. ricini*, it was 14.75 ± 0.19 days at a constant temperature of $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and $65 \pm 5\%$ R.H. A single *M. desjardinsi* larva on an average consumed 103.35 ± 2.31 and 100.40 ± 2.05 chawki larvae (1st instar) of *B. mori* and *S. c. ricini*, respectively. The sex ratio of male: female was $1:1.4 \pm 1:1.2$ with 78.9 and 69.3 per cent adult emergence, respectively on *B. mori* and *S. c. ricini*. The study also revealed that silkworms could be utilized as alternate host material for maintenance of culture as well as production of *M. desjardinsi*.

KEY WORDS: Mallada desjardinsi, rearing, Bombyx mori, Samia cynthia ricini

(Article Chronicle: Received: 5-5-2011 Sent for revision: 14-9-2011 Accepted: 22-12-2011)

Amongst the many insect predators, chrysopids are reported to be potential predators due to their high host searching capacity and voracious feeding habit. The adults are non-predatory in nature, feeding on honeydew secreted by host insects and pollen of flowers. The larvae attack and consume a wide variety of insect pests that include aphids, mealy bugs, scale insects, whiteflies, thrips, psyllids, leaf hoppers, lepidopteran eggs / larvae and mites (Krishnamoorthy and Mani, 1989; Mani and Krishnamoorthy, 1999; Canard, 2001; Sujatha and Singh, 2003; Syed et al., 2008; Alasady et al., 2010). They are encountered in most of the agricultural and horticultural ecosystems including plantation crops and mulberry (Narendra Kumar et al., 2001, 2010). Their ability to adapt to a wide range of ecological factors (Ulhaq et al., 2006) and tolerance to insecticides (Bigler, 1984; Vogt et al., 2001) has made them important candidates in the biological control programs. Mallada desjardinsi (Okamoto) was found to be an important predator of Maconellicoccus hirsutus (Green) in mulberry ecosystem in Karnataka, Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh (Sidde Gowda et al., 1997). They can be successfully reared on eggs of *Corcyra* cephalonica Stainton in the laboratory (Krishnamoorthy and Mani, 1982; Bakthavatsalam et al., 1994; Jalali et al., 2003; Elsiddig et al., 2006; Syed et al., 2008; Riddick, 2009) and on artificial diet (Venkatesan et al., 2000; Sudhida Gautam, 2010). In the present study, an attempt was made to rear *M. desjardinsi* using first instar larvae (chawki) of silk worm as alternate host, which are usually discarded.

During February 2010, four egg masses each with 21, 18, 20 and 24 stalked eggs of M. desjardinsi were collected from the mulberry gardens of CSR & TI, Mysore, destalked and kept individually in vials (25x50 mm) for hatching at a room temperature of 25 \pm 2°C and 65 \pm 5% R. H. and was covered with cotton. After hatching, they were divided in to 2 batches each of forty larva and provided with a known number of chawki worms (1st instar) of mulberry [Bombyx mori L] and eri silkworm [Samia cynthia ricini (Boisduval)] with the help of hair brush. The larvae which were alive in each vial after 24 hours were counted and the difference was recorded as number of larvae fed by M. desjardinsi. The larvae were reared in the same vials till pupation and observations on the feeding potential as well as developmental duration of each instar was recorded. The freshly developed pupae were maintained in the same vials till adult emergence and subsequently the pupal period, sex ratio and fecundity were recorded. The adults were reared in a 50% honey solution, protinex mixture and castor pollen as per the method described by Jalali et al., 2003). The data were

subjected to one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for determining the level of significance (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

In the present study, the 1st, 2nd and 3rd instar larvae of M. designationsi consumed 15.40 ± 0.49 , 30.35 ± 0.39 and 57.60 ± 2.44 mulberry silkworm first instar larvae of B. mori respectively with a total consumption of 103.35 ± 2.31 during its whole larval period, which lasted for 14.60 ± 0.13 days. Similarly, each larva of M. designation consumed 15.05 ± 0.30 , 29.40 ± 0.49 and 55.95 ± 2.15 chawki larvae respectively of S. c. ricini during 1st, 2nd and 3rd instar (total 14.75 ± 0.19 days) with a total consumption of 100.40 ± 2.05 larvae (Table 1 and 2). The feeding potential of M. desjardinsi on different hosts was studied by several workers. Joshi and Yadav (1990) recorded that each larvae of M. desiardinsi consumed on an average 628.75 eggs of C. cephalonica and 453 nymphs of Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius) during its larval stage which lasted for 12.85 days (I & II instar: each for 4.11 days; III instar: 12.85 days). Similarly, More et al. (2010) observed that, the larval period of M. desjardinsi on mango mealybug, Ferrisia virgata (Cockerell), lasted for 14.07 ± 0.78 days, which is more or less in conformity with present finding (with I instar

 5.14 ± 0.36 days, II instar 4.66 ± 0.52 days and III instar 3.66 ± 0.47 days). With respect to grape mealybug, *M. hirsutus*, a single larva of *M. desjardinsi* was observed to consume 237.9 nymphs (Anonymous, 1987).

In the present study, egg incubation period of M. desjardinsi was recorded to be 4.00 ± 0.14 days (Max. 4.18, Min. 3.22) and 4.8 ± 0.1 (Max. 5.1, Min. 3.96) with a hatching percentage of 92 and 91.

There was no significant difference in pupal period which lasted for 10.40 ± 0.11 and 10.60 ± 0.11 days when fed on mulberry silkworm and eri silkworm, respectively. Percent pupal mortality was recorded to be 0.15 ± 0.08 and 0.35 ± 0.11 , respectively. The parameters such as preoviposition, oviposition and post oviposition periods are tabulated in Table 3. The sex ratio (male: female) was $1:1.4 \pm 1:1.2$ with 78.9 and 69.3% adult emergence, respectively on mulberry silkworm and eri silkworm. The fecundity was observed to be significantly different with 229.80 ± 16.99 when fed with *B. mori* chawki larvae compared to that fed with *S. c. ricini* chawki larvae (178.35 ± 8.72). The above observations are in conformity with the studies conducted by Joshi and Yadav (1990) with respect to *M. desjardinsi* on *B. tabaci*. However,

Table 1. Feeding potential of Mallada desjardinsi on young age silkworm larvae

Host species	I Instar	II Instar	III Instar	Total consumption
Bombyx mori	15.40 ± 0.49	30.35 ± 0.39	57.60 ± 2.44	103.35 ± 2.31
Samia cynthia ricini	15.05 ± 0.30	29.40 ± 0.49	55.95 ± 2.15	100.40 ± 2.05
NS = Non-Significant	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 2. Developmental duration of M. desjardinsi when fed on silkworm larvae

Host species	I Instar	II Instar	III Instar	Total larva (Days)	pupa	Total Pre imaginal	% mortality
Bombyx mori	4.55±0.11	4.60±0.11	5.45±0.11	14.60±0.13	10.40±0.11	25.00±0.19	0.15±0.08
Samia cynthia ricini	4.60±0.11	4.75±0.10	5.40±0.11	14.75±0.19	10.60±0.11	25.35±0.25	0.35±0.11
NS = Non-Significant	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 3. Biological parameters of the adult predator, M. desjardinsi

Host species	Pre oviposition period	Oviposition period	Post oviposition period	Fecundity
Bombyx mori	6.15±0.25	36.40±0.76	6.30±0.55	329.80±16.99
Samia cynthia ricini	7.20±0.34	31.45±0.74	4.70±0.47	178.35±8.72
NS = Non-Significant	6.184 **	21.666 **	4.953 **	62.905 **

More *et al.* (2010) recorded a female biased sex ratio of 1:1.8 when fed on *F. virgata*.

Based on the results of the current study, it is inferred that *M. desjardinsi* could be successfully cultured and maintained in the laboratory by utilizing the chawki larvae of either *B. mori* or *S. c. ricini* as alternate host. Incidentally, unused male moths of multivoltine races and female moths of bivoltine races which otherwise are discarded during grainage operation could be well utilized for this purpose without any loss in commercial silkworm seed production activity. However, development of suitable protocol for mass production and cost economics in large scale multiplication are to be focused as future thrust area of research.

REFERENCES

- Alasady, M. A. A., Omar, D. B., Ibrahim, Y. B. and Ibrahim, R. B. 2010. Life table of green lacewing *Apertochrysa* sp. reared on rice moth, *Corcyra cephalonica*. *International Journal of Agricultural Biology*, 12: 266–270.
- Anonymous, 1987. *Annual Report*, AICRP on Biological Control of crop pests and weeds. Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bangalore, India.
- Bakthavatsalam, N., Singh, S. P., Pushpalatha, N. A. and Bhumannavar, B. S. 1994. Life tables of four species of chrysopids. *Journal of Entomological Research*, 18: 357–360.
- Bigler, F. 1984. Biological control by Chrysopids: Integration with pesticides. pp. 233–245. In: Canard, M., Semeria, Y. and New, T. R. (Eds.), *Biology of Chrysopids* Dr. W. Junk Publication, Boston, The Netherlands.
- Canard, M. 2001. Natural food and feeding habits of lacewings. pp. 116–129. In: McEwen, P. K., New, T. R. and Whittington, A. E. (Eds.). *Lacewings in the Crop Environment* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Elsiddig, S. I. Y., Gautam, R. D. and Chander, S. 2006. Life tables of the predator, *Mallada desjardinsi* (Okamoto) on the eggs of *Corcyra cephalonica* and larvae of *Tribolium castaneum. Journal of Entomological Research*, **30**: 301–307.
- Gomez and Gomez. 1984. *Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research*. John Wiley and Sons, New York. Pp: vii + 68 Netherland.
- Jalali, S. K., Rabindra, R. J., Rao, N. S. and Dasan, C. B. 2003.
 Mass production of Trichogrammatids and chrysopid predators. Technical Bulletin No. 33. Project Directorate of Biological Control, Bangalore, India, 16 pp.
- Joshi, B. C. and Yadav, D. N. 1990. Biology and feeding potential of *Mallada boninensis*, a chrysopid predator of white fly, *Bemisia tabaci*. *Journal of Biological Control*, 4: 18–21.

- Krishnamoorthy, A. and Mani, M. 1982. Feeding potential and development of *Chrysopa scelestes* on *Heliothis armigera* under laboratory conditions. *Entomon*, 7: 385–388.
- Krishnamoorthy, A. and Mani, M. 1989. Record of green lacewing preying on mealy bug in India. *Current Science*, **58**: 155.
- Mani, M. and Krishnamoorthy, A. 1999. Natural enemies and host plants of spiraling whitefly *Aleurodicus dispersus* in Bangalore, Karnataka. *Entomon*, **24**: 75–80.
- More, S. A., Narangalkar, A. L., Shinde, B. D., Gharge, C. P. and Pachankar, P. B. 2010. Biology of potential predator, *Mallada boninensis* on mango mealybug, *Ferrisia virgata*. *Green farming*, 1: 621–622.
- Narendra Kumar, J. B., Magadum, S. B. and Sikdar, A. K. 2001.
 Natural enemy complex in mulberry. *Indian Silk*, 40: 8–10.
- Narendra Kumar, J. B., Shekhar, M. A. and Qadri, S. M. H. 2010. Chrysopids – Untried broad spectrum bio-control agent in mulberry. *Indian Silk*, 49: 10–12.
- Riddick, E. W. 2009. Benefits and limitations of factitious prey and artificial diets on life parameters of predatory beetles, bugs, and lacewings: A mini-review. *Biocontrol*, **54**: 325–339.
- Sidde Gowda, D. K., Manjunath, D., Sathya Prasad, K., Katiyar, R. L., Ram Kishore and Datta, R. K. 1997. Natural enemy complex of the pink mealy bug *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* (Green) in mulberry crop system. *Indian Journal of Sericulture*, 36: 55–56.
- Sudhida Gautam, Maruthadurai, R. and Gautam, R. D. 2010. Power packed green lacewing feed (PPGF), its shelf life and effect on reproductive potential of *Mallada desjardinsi* (Navas). *Journal of Biological Control*, **24**: 227–230.
- Sujatha, A. and Singh, S. P. 2003. Predatory efficiency of Mallada astur, a chrysopid predator of coconut leaf eating caterpillar, Opisina arenosella. Journal of Biological Control, 17: 23–27.
- Syed, A. N., Ashfaq, M. and Ahmas, S. 2008. Comparative effect of various diets on development of *Chrysoperla carnea*. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 10: 728–730.
- Ulhaq, M. M., Sattar, A., Salihah, Z., Farid, A., Usman, A. and Khattak, S. U. K. 2006. Effect of different artificial diets on the biology of adult green lacewing (*Chrysoperla carnea*). *Journal of Science and Technology*, 28: 1–8.
- Venkatesan, T., Singh, S. P. and Jalali, S. K. 2000. Rearing of Mallada astur (Banks) on a semi-synthetic diet. Pest management in Horticultural Ecosystems, 8: 121–125.
- Vogt, H., Vioa, E. and Bozsik, A. 2001. Interactions with plant management strategies. pp. 357–379. In: McEwen P. K., New, T. R. and Whittington, A. E. (Eds.). *Lacewings in the Crop Environment*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.