



Research Article

Evaluation of diacetylphloroglucinol producing pseudomonads for their biocontrol potential against *Ralstonia* wilt in brinjal

N.ARULMANI, S.SRIRAM*# and R.RANGESHWARAN

National Bureau of Agriculturally Important Insects, P.B. No. 2941, H.A. Farm Post, Bellary Road, Hebbal, Bangalore 560 024, India. # Present Address: Division of Plant Pathology, Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bangalore 560 089, India *Corresponding author E-mail: sriram1702@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG) producing isolates of pseudomonads were screened against bacterial wilt in brinjal caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum* under greenhouse conditions. Thirty *Pseudomonas* isolates were obtained from the culture collection of NBAII, Bangalore that include seven isolates of *Pseudomonas putida* (CK8C, CK24E, Pf4K, RPF9, RPF13, OTN5E2, GR1ARS1), one *P. mosselli* (CK24C) isolate, three isolates of *P. fluorescens* (GR3ARS3, Pf-DWD, CHAO), three isolates of *P. plecoglossicida* (BA11D1, BA16-2, BA3-D1) and sixteen isolates of *P. aeruginosa* (CK13C, CK19E, AFP3, AFP4, AFP6, RFP7, OTN8, AFP9, AFP8, PDB8, PDB1, AFP7, AFP5, AFP13, ND4-IARIB, RPF8). Bacterial wilt susceptible brinjal variety MEBH-9 was used in the screening. The brinjal seedlings were dipped in *Pseudomonas* suspension for five minutes before transplantation and plants were observed for wilt symptoms like stunted growth, drooping of leaves, loss of rigidity and eventually death of the plant. Four weeks later, the plants were uprooted and length and weight of both roots and shoots were recorded. The highest percentage of wilt disease reduction was observed in seedlings treated with *P. plecoglossicida* BA11D1 (95.8%), *P. putida* CK24E (62.5%) and *P. plecoglossicida* BA3D1 (41.7%). Average shoot length, root length and shoot weight, root weight were comparatively lesser in most of the pseudomonads treated plants than the control plants.

KEY WORDS: Ralstonia solanacearum, bacterial wilt, plant growth promotion, pseudomonads, diacetylphloroglucinol.

(Article chronicle: Received: 05-01-2013; Revised: 15-04-2013; Accepted: 10-06-2013)

INTRODUCTION

Ralstonia solanacearum (syn. Pseudomonas solana*cearum*) is a destructive soil-borne plant pathogen that causes wilt disease in more than 200 plant species belonging to over 50 families of higher plants including several crops like brinjal, potato, tomato, banana, ginger and chilli and causes serious economic damage (Smith, 1896; Hayward, 1991; Yabuchhi et al., 1996). Using beneficial bacteria for the biocontrol of bacterial wilt of tomato has been found effective when compared to other control measures that include plant breeding, sanitation, bactericides and other chemical methods. Lemessa and Zeller (2007) screened 118 rhizobacteria in vitro and selected six isolates having good inhibitory effect. They showed that in greenhouse tomato seedlings treated with the antagonists having disease control ability (fluorescent pseudomonad APF1 and Bacillus subtilis B2G) significantly reduced disease incidence and increased dry weight of tomato plants. The seedlings dipped in pseudomonads suspension before transplanting showed biocontrol ability against wilt in *Eucalyptus urophylla* (Ran *et al.*, 2005). In this study, greenhouse experiment was carried out to study the biocontrol ability of thirty DAPG producing pseudomonad isolates by seedling dip method against bacterial wilt in brinjal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial isolates and plant material

Ralstonia solanacearum G3 virulent strain was used for the experiments. Pathogenicity of the isolate was tested on brinjal seedlings and was re-isolated from the affected plant to fulfill Koch's postulates. Thirty pseudomonad isolates were collected from NBAII culture collection, Bengaluru which include seven isolates of *Pseudomonas putida* (CK8C, CK24E, Pf4K, RPF9, RPF13, OTN5E2, GR1ARS1), one *P. mosselli* (CK24C) isolate, three isolates of *P. fluorescens* (GR3ARS3, Pf-DWD, CHAO), three isolates of *P. plecoglossicida* (BA11D1, BA16-2, BA3-D1) and sixteen isolates of *P. aeruginosa* (CK13C, CK19E, AFP3, AFP4, AFP6, RFP7, OTN8, AFP9, AFP8, PDB8, PDB1, AFP7, AFP5, AFP13, ND4-IARIB, RPF8). Seedlings of susceptible brinjal variety (MEBH9) for were used for greenhouse experiments.

Green house experiments

The bacterial wilt pathogen R. solanacearum G3 isolate was grown in CPG broth and all Pseudomonas isolates were grown in nutrient broth. The broth was incubated overnight in shaker at 28°C and then cultures were centrifuged at 5000 x g for 10 min. at room temperature and the pellet was resuspended in sterile water. This procedure was repeated twice to remove traces of the medium and finally the suspension was adjusted to the concentration of 10⁸CFUs per ml. Pathogen inoculation was done by drenching five ml of bacterial suspension directly to cocopeat. Seedling dip method was used for pseudomonads treatment (root portion of the plants was completely immersed in bacterial suspension for five minutes). Three replicates, each with eight plants were maintained for each bioagent isolate. Plants were transplanted after dipping in pseudomonads suspension and observed for bacterial wilt disease symptoms such as stunted growth, wilting and/or epinasty upto four weeks. Thirty days after the treatment, wilt disease incidence was recorded.

Growth promotion assessment

At the end of the experiment (when all plants in the pathogenic control died due to wilt), plants were uprooted separately and plant growth parameters were recorded. Healthy plants were counted and their length and weights also recorded to find out any significance difference in growth promotion between the pseudomonads compared with the untreated control.

Statistical analysis

IRRISTAT software was used for statistical analysis. Measurements of length and weight of root and shoot were used for ANOVA in order to find the best treatment among the treatments with different *Pseudomonas* isolates.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Biocontrol ability

The thirty pseudomonad isolates tested under greenhouse showed varying degree of wilt disease reduction in brinjal. All the *Pseudomonas* isolates showed a considerable reduction in percentage of wilt disease that varied from 8.3% to 95.8%. Among the thirty isolates, the highest percentage of wilt disease reduction was observed in seedlings treated with *P. plecoglossicida* BA11D1 (95.8%) followed by *Pseudomonas putida* CK8C (91.7%) and *P. auruginosa* RPF7 (79.2%) (Table 1). In greenhouse experiments conducted by Guo *et al.* (2004) three strains of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), *Serratia* sp. J2, fluorescent pseudomonad J3 and *Bacillus* sp. BB11, were found effective in the biological control of bacterial wilt of tomato caused by *R. solanacearum*. In our study, among 30 isolates screened, isolates of *P. plecoglossicida* and *P. putida* strains have shown the highest percentage of disease reduction.

Plant growth promotion by bacterial antagonists

Among thirty pseudomonads, shoot length were maximum in plants treated with Pf4K (132.1 cm) followed by CHAO (129.6), RFP9 (127.5 cm) and CK19E (121.9 cm) compared to 112.5 cm recorded in untreated plants (Table 1). When pathogen was also co-inoculated with the bioagents, the maximum root length was recorded in plants treated with CK24E (108.8 cm) followed by CK13C (106.7 cm), Pf4K (100.8 cm) and OTN5E2 (100.2 cm) compared to 79.0 cm in pathogen alone treated plants. The root weight did not change significantly when plants were treated with pseudomonads while there was variation in the shoot weight. Maximum shoot weight was observed in plants treated with BA11D1 (16.0 g) and CK8C (13.0 g) when pathogen was co inoculated. The shoot weight was ranging between 5.0 g and 10.3 g in plants treated with other isolates of pseudomonads and pathogen was co-inoculated on these plants. The shoot weight in pathogen alone inoculated plants was 0.6g. Without pathogen inoculation, plants treated with CK19E and Pf4K recorded maximum shoot weight (27.7 and 23.0 g respectively).

Earlier a few reports on the effect of bioagents on the bacterial wilt pathogen have been reported. When potato tubers were treated with the selected antagonistic isolates prior to planting in pathogen-infested soil, there was significant suppression in the incidence of bacterial wilt and increase in its survival rate of plants by 59.83% (Kuarabachew et al., 2007). The bioagents P. fluorescens, P. putida and B. subtilis were effective in controlling brown rot disease of potato when used separately and P. putida was the most efficient (Mahmoud, 2007). Ramesh et al. (2009) observed that in greenhouse experiments, in the plants treated with Pseudomonas isolates (EB9, EB67), Enterobacter isolates (EB44, EB89) and Bacillus isolates (EC4, EC13) showed reduced incidence of bacterial wilt by more than 70% in brinjal compared to control treatment.

Table 1. Incidence of wi	t in various treatments
--------------------------	-------------------------

Treatment	% wilt incidence
AFP13	66.0 ^{1ij}
AFP3	53.2 ^{fgh}
AFP4	41.8 ^{def}
AFP5	49.8^{efg}
AFP6	29.5 ^{bc}
AFP7	58.0 ^{ghi}
AFP8	41.9 ^{def}
AFP9	62.4 ^{hij}
BA11D1	4.8ª
BA16-2	82.71
BA3D1	58.7 ^{ghi}
CHAO	58.7 ^{ghi}
CK13C	61.5 ^{hij}
CK19E	29.3 ^{bc}
CK24C	38.1 ^{cde}
CK24E	38.1 ^{cde}
CK8C	7.5ª
GR1ARS1	61.4 ^{hij}
GR3ARS3	32.3 ^{cd}
ND4-IARIB	70.3 ^{jk}
OTN5E2	79.5 ^{k1}
OTN8	53.2 ^{fgh}
PDB1	53.2 ^{fgh}
PDB8	53.2 ^{fgh}
Pf4K	53.2 ^{fgh}
Pf-DWD	70.3 ^{jk}
RFP13	86.4 ¹
RFP7	82.7 ¹
RFP8	20.8 ^b
RFP9	90.7 ¹ m
Control	100.0 ^m
CD ($P = 0.01$)	11.3

Most of the selected antagonists produced an antibiotic DAPG, which inhibited *R. solanacearum in vitro. Bacillus subtilis* and Bacteriophage (PT21) were highly effective in reducing the bacterial wilt incidence in tomato by 68.69 and 68.24%, respectively (Kumar *et al.*, 2009). Further, the antagonists reduced pathogen population by 1000– and 100-folds in soil and rhizosphere, respectively.

A negative correlation between the population of P. fluorescens and per cent bacterial wilt incidence was observed by Bora and Deka (2007) when tomato plants were treated with P. fluorescens and there was increase in yield corresponding to the reduction in the disease incidence. Vanitha et al. (2009) studied the effects of tomato seed treatments with P. fluorescens in the control of bacterial wilt under greenhouse conditions and the results revealed that the treatments protected plants against soil-borne infections of the bacterial wilt organism. Seed treatment with antagonistic P. fluorescens strain significantly improved the quality of seed germination and seedling vigour. The disease incidence was significantly reduced in plants raised from P. fluorescens treated seeds followed by challenge inoculation with R. solanacearum. The present study also confirms the possibility of using bacterial antagonists for the management of bacterial wilt in solanaceous crops like tomato, chilli and brinjal. The bacterial antagonists along with phages and other cultural practices can be employed to minimize the yield loss due to bacterial wilt disease.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The funding agency (ICAR) under PhytoFuRa project is gratefully acknowledged. The authors are thankful to the Director, National Bureau of Agriculturally Important Insects, Bangalore, India for the facilities provided.

REFERENCE

- Bora, LC, Deka, SN. 2007. Wilt disease suppression and yield enhancement in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) by application of Pseudomonas fluorescens based biopesticide (Biofor-Pf) in Assam. Indian J Agric Sci. 77: 490–494.
- Guo JH, Qi HY, Guo YH, Ge HL, Gong LY, Zhang LX, Sun PH. 2004. Biocontrol of tomato wilt by plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria. *Biol Control* **29**: 66–72.

Hayward AC. 1991. Biology and epidemiology of bacterial wilt caused by *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. *Annual Rev Phytopathol.* **29**: 65–87.

	8
	50
	8
	Ĕ
	Ľ.
	Ď
ç	_
	10 U O
	0
•	
	3
	3
	2
	2
	1
	co-mo
	õ
	Ĕ
	Ħ
	5
	ē
3	Ľ
	N.
	5
	5
	Ē
5	VITH 0
•	VIL
	2
	ğ
	Ë
	5
	5
F	ð
	ð.
	ě.
	ose
	t pse
	nt pse
	ent
	scent pse
	escent
•	ent
•	escent
	escent
	escent
	th fluorescent
	escent
	th fluorescent
	with fluorescent
	with fluorescent
	with fluorescent
	with fluorescent
	with fluorescent
	s treated with fluorescent
	s treated with fluorescent
	s treated with fluorescent
	plants treated with fluorescent
	plants treated with fluorescent
	plants treated with fluorescent
	plants treated with fluorescent
	tion in plants treated with fluorescent
	plants treated with fluorescent
	tion in plants treated with fluorescent
	romotion in plants treated with fluorescent
	motion in plants treated with fluorescent
	romotion in plants treated with fluorescent
	romotion in plants treated with fluorescent
	romotion in plants treated with fluorescent
	growth promotion in plants treated with fluorescent
	growth promotion in plants treated with fluorescent
	growth promotion in plants treated with fluorescent
	ant growth promotion in plants treated with fluorescent
	: Plant growth promotion in plants treated with fluorescent
	ant growth promotion in plants treated with fluorescent
	le 2: Plant growth promotion in plants treated with fluorescent
	ble 2: Plant growth promotion in plants treated with fluorescent
	le 2: Plant growth promotion in plants treated with fluorescent

Treatment	Shoot length	length	Root length	ength	Shoot weight	ight	Root	Root weight
	FP	FP+RS	FP	FP+RS	FP	FP+RS	FP	FP+RS
AFP13	107.7 de	99.2 h	40.6 bc	46.7 ghi	9.3 ab	7.3 bc	1.0	0.8
AFP3	99.2 b	69.4 ab	69.6 ik	55.8 ijkl	13 .0 abc	5.7 b	2.0	1.3
AFP4	100.2 bc	72.9 bc	58.3 fghi	65.0 klm	11.7 abc	7.0 bc	1.7	1.3
AFP5	100.6 bc	97.9 h	37.5 ab	37.5 defg	6.0 a	6.0 b	0.8	0.6
AFP6	102.1 bc	81.3 e	78.1 1	60.6 jkl	15.3 bcde	8.7 bc	2.0	2.0
AFP7	122.1 h	9.60 h	51.7 ef	32.1abcde	9.3 ab	5.0 b	3.7	0.6
AFP8	107.5 def	95.8 h	42.5 bcd	22.1 a	11.3 abc	6.0 b	0.8	1.7
AFP9	100.0 bc	99.2 h	32.1 a	37.9 defg	9.3 ab	5.3 b	1.3	0.8
BA11D1	107.4 de	98.5 h	75.0 kl	83.3 n	16.7 bcdef	16.0 e	3.0	1.7
BA16-2	121.5 gh	86.5 fg	37.5 ab	39.4 defg	10.7 abc	7.3 bc	1.3	0.9
BA3D1	106.9 de	9.60 h	46.0 cde	42.5 defg	10.3 ab	6.0 b	1.7	0.6
CHAO	129.6 i	98.8 h	40.0 bc	39.4 defg	14.7 bcde	5.3 b	1.7	0.8
CK13C	109.6 e	106.7 i	50.0 de	25.8 abc	17.0 bcdef	7.3 bc	1.7	0.6
CK19E	121.9 i	79.8 e	75.0 kl	65.4 lm	27.7 g	7.7 bc	2.7	1.7
CK24C	93.1 a	83.8 ef	61.2 ghij	72.9 mn	8.7 ab	7.3 bc	1.3	1.3
CK24E	105.0 d	108.8 i	52.5 efg	39.2 defg	14.7 bcde	7.0 bc	1.3	1.2
CK8C	112.1 e	97.9 h	76.9 kl	73.9 mn	15.0 bcde	13.0 de	2.7	2
GR1ARS1	116.5 f	98.1 h	44.8 bcde	46.0 ghi	15.3 bcde	10.3 cd	1.0	0.7
GR3ARS3	110.2 e	70.8 ab	64.8 ij	60.0 ijkl	19.0 cdef	5.7 b	2.7	1
ND4-IARIB	113.1 ef	97.9 h	42.5 bcd	35.4 bcdef	10.7 abc	6.3 bc	2.3	0.9
OTN5E2	111.0 e	100.2 h	37.7 ab	49.8 hij	11.3 abc	7.7 bc	1.3	0.6
OTN8	111.0 e	65.2 a	64.4 ij	56.5 ijkl	16.7 bcdef	5.0 b	2.7	1.3
PDB1	102.1 bc	99.2 h	42.1 bc	30.0 abcd	10.7 abc	6.0 b	2.9	0.7
PDB8	112.1 e	95.0 h	41.3 bc	24.2 ab	12.7 abc	5.3 b	1.3	0.8
Pf4K	132.1 i	100.8 hi	52.5 efg	28.3 abc	23.0 ef	5.7 b	4.3	0.6
Pf-DWD	118.0 g	88.8 fg	55.6 fgh	30.0 abcd	16.0 bcdef	4.3 ab	1.7	0.5
RFP13	103.1 bc	96.9 h	40.2 bc	38.5 defg	9.3 ab	6.3 bc	1.3	0.8
RFP7	108.8 def	76.7 bcd	74.2 kl	55.0 hijk	15.6 bcde	7.0 bc	1.7	1.3
RFP8	110.6 e	98.1 h	40.4 bc	44.0 gh	9.3 ab	6.0 b	2.7	1.0
RFP9	127.5 i	89.4 g	45.0 bcde	37.1cdefg	10.3 ab	7.0 bc	1.0	0.7
Control	111.2 e	79.0 e	62.1 hij	30.2 abcd	10.2 ab	0.6 a	2.3	0.2
Cd = @ P=0.01	3 52	5 93	7 8	11 2	x 3	4.7	su	54

Values shown here are mean of 3 replicates. Length in mm and weight in g. P-Pseudomonas, R-Ralstonia solanacearum.

ARULMANI et al.

Evaluation of diacetylphloroglucinol producing pseudomonads

- 2007. Evaluation of Ethiopian isolates of *Pseudomonas* fluorescens as biocontrol agent against potato bacterial wilt caused by *Ralstonia* (*Pseudomonas*) solanacearum. Acta Agric Slovenica **90**: 125–135.
- Kumar MKP, Khan ANA, Reddy CNL, Basavarajappa MP, Venkataravanappa V, Basha Z. 2006. Biological control of bacterial wilt of tomato caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum*, race-1, biovar-III. *J Pl Dis Sci.* 1: 176–181.
- Lemessa F, Zeller W. 2007. Screening rhizobacteria for biological control of *Ralstonia solanacearum* in Ethiopia. *Biol Control* **42**: 336–344.
- Mahmoud SM. 2007. Management of brown rot disease of potato. *Arab Univ J Agric Sci.* **15**: 457–463.
- Ramesh R, Joshi AA, Ghanekar MP. 2009. Pseudomonads: major antagonistic endophytic bacteria to suppress bacterial wilt pathogen, *Ralstonia solanacearum* in the eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). *World J Microbiol Biotech.* 25: 1, 47–55.

- Ran LX, Liu CY, Wub CJ, Van Ioon LC, Bakker PAHM. 2005. Suppression of bacterial wilt in *Eucalyptus urophylla* by fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. in China. *Biol Control* **32**: 111–120.
- Smith EF. 1896. A bacterial disease of the tomato, eggplant and Irish potato (Bacillus solanacearum nov. sp.) USDA, Division of Vegetables Physiology & Pathology, Bulletin 12: 28 pp.
- Vanitha SC, Niranjana SR, Mortensen CN, Umesha S. 2009. Bacterial wilt of tomato in Karnataka and its management by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *BioControl.* 54: 5, 685–695.
- Yabuuchi E, Kosako Y, Yano I, Hota H, Nishiuchi Y. 1995. Transfer of two *Burkholderia* and an *Alcaligenes* species to *Ralstonia* gen. nov., *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Smith, 1986). comb. nov. *Microbial Immunol.* **39**: 897–904.